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#### Abstract

Advances in networking technology and an increase in the need for computing resources have prompted many organizations to outsource their storage and computing needs. This new economic and computing model is commonly referred to as cloud.

While the benefits of using a public cloud infrastructure are clear, it introduces significant security and privacy risks. In fact, it seems that the biggest hurdle to the adoption of cloud storage (and cloud computing in general) is concern over the confidentiality and integrity of data.


To address the concerns outlined above and increase the adoption of cloud storage, we argue for designing a virtual private storage service based on new cryptographic techniques.

In this project work, the plain text of 128 bits is given as input to encryption block in whichencryption of data is made and the cipher text of 128 bits is throughout as output. The key length of 128 bits, 192bits or 256 bits is used in process of encryption.

The AES algorithm is a block cipher that uses the same binary key for both encryption and decryption of data blocks. Hence it is called a symmetric key cryptography. The rounds in decryption are exact inverse of encryption. There are four rounds in encrypt ions viz. Sub Bytes, ShiftRows, MixColumns and AddRoundKey.Similarly for Decryption we have InvSubBytes, InvShiffilows, InvMixColumnsandInvAddRoundKey. Since operations in AES are difficulty, there exists no attack better than key exhaustion to read an encrypted message. Ultimately, anyone can use AES encryption methods, and it is free forpublic or private, commercial or non-commercial use. The simplest version encrypts and decryptseach 128 -bit block individually. It gives better security than DES versions and also betterthroughput.

A proof of storage is a protocol executed between a client and a server with which the server can prove to the client that it did not tamper with its data.

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

Advances in networking technology and an increase in the need for computing resources have prompted many organizations to outsource their storage and computing needs. This new economic and computing model is commonly referred to as cloud computing and includes various types of services such as: infrastructure as a service (IaaS), where a customer makes use of a service provider's computing, storage or networking infrastructure; platform as a service (PaaS), where a customer leverages the provider's resources to run custom applications; and finally software as a service (SaaS), where customers use software that is run on the provider's infrastructure. [9]

Cloud infrastructures can be roughly categorized as either private or public. In a private cloud, the infrastructure is managed and owned by the customer and located on-premise (i.e., in the customer's region of control). In particular, this means that access to customer data is under its control and is only granted to parties it trusts. In a public cloud the infrastructure is owned and managed by a cloud service provider and is located off-premise (i.e., in the cloud service provider's region of control). This means that customer data is outside its control and could potentially be granted to untrusted parties.[9]

Storage services based on public clouds such as Microsoft's Azure storage service and Amazon's S3 provide customers with scalable and dynamic storage. By moving their data to the cloud customers can avoid the costs of building and maintaining a private storage infrastructure, opting instead to pay a service provider as a function of its needs. For most customers, this provides several benefits including availability (i.e., being able to access data from anywhere) and reliability (i.e., not having to worry about backups) at a relatively low cost. [16]

While the benefits of using a public cloud infrastructure are clear, it introduces significant security and privacy risks. In fact, it seems that the biggest hurdle to the
adoption of cloud storage (and cloud computing in general) is concern over the confidentiality and integrity of data. While, so far, consumers have been willing to trade privacy for the convenience of software services (e.g., for web-based email, calendars, pictures etc...), this is not the case for enterprises and government organizations. This reluctance can be attributed to several factors that range from a desire to protect mission-critical data to regulatory obligations to preserve the confidentiality and integrity of data. The latter can occur when the customer is responsible for keeping personally identifiable information (PII), or medical and financial records. So while cloud storage has enormous promise, unless the issues of confidentiality and integrity are addressed many potential customers will be reluctant to make the move. [14]

To address the concerns outlined above and increase the adoption of cloud storage, we argue for designing a virtual private storage service based on new cryptographic techniques. The earlier encryption algorithm is Data Encryption Standard (DES) which has several loopholes like small key size that makes it prone to brute force attacks, etc. It fails to provide high level, efficient and exportable security. These loopholes were overcome by a new algorithm called Advanced Encryption Standard (AES). [4]

In this project work, the plain text of 128 bits is given as input to encryption block inwhich encryption of data is made and the cipher text of 128 bits is throughout as output. The key length of 128bits, 192bits or 256bits is used in process of encryption. The AES algorithm is a block cipher that uses the same binary key for both encryption and decryption of data blocks. [3]

### 1.1. Purpose

Due to the advancements in the Internet technology, huge digital data are transmitted over the public cloud network. As the public cloud network is open to all, protection of these data is a vital issue. Thus for protecting these data from the unauthorized people, Cryptography has come up as a solution which plays a vital role in information security system against various attacks. Advanced Encryption Standard is the current standard for symmetric key cryptography and is considered very much secure due to it. [1]

### 1.2. Motivation

The Advanced Encryption Standard, in the following referenced as AES is thewinner of the contest, held in 1997 by the US Government, after the Data EncryptionStandard(DES)was found too weak. Fifteen candidates were accepted in 1998 and based on public comments the pool was reduced to five finalists in 1999. In October 2000, one of these five algorithms was selected as the forthcoming standard: a slightlymodified version of the Rijndael.The Rijndael, whose name is based on the names of its two Belgian inventors Joan Daemenand Vincent Rijmen, is a Block cipher, which means that it works onfixed -length group of bits, which are called Blocks. It takes an input block of a certain size usually 128 bits, and produces a corresponding output block of the same size. Thetransformation requires a second input, which is the secret key. It is important to knowthat the secret key can be of any size (depending on the cipher used) and that AES uses three different key sizes: 128, 192 and 256 bits. [2]

### 1.3. Overview

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) is a symmetric key cryptography and it has block cipher with a fixed block size of 128 bit and a variable key length i.e.it may be 128, 192 or 256 bits. The different transformations operate on theintermediate results, called state. The state is a rectangular array of bytes and since theblock size is 128 bits, which is 16 bytes, the rectangular array is of dimensions $4 \times 4$. (Inthe Rijndael version with variable block size, the row size is fixed to four and thenumber of columns varies. The number of columns is the block size divided by 32 anddenoted $\mathrm{Nb})$. The cipher key is similarly pictured as a rectangular array with four rows.The number of columns of the cipher key is equal to the key lengthdivided by 32. [4]

AES uses a variable number of rounds, which are fixed: A key of size 128 has 10 rounds. A key of size 192 has 12 rounds. A key of size 256 has 14 rounds. Analgorithm starts with a random number, in which the key and data encrypted with it arescrambled though four mathematical operation processes. The key that is used toencrypt the number must also be used to decrypt it. For encryption, each rounds has four operations SubBytes, ShiftRows, MixColumns and AddRoundKey respectively and for decryption it use inverse of these function. [4]

AES does not use a Feistel structure but processes the entire data block inparallel during each round using substitutions and permutation.The key that is provided as input is expanded into an array of forty-four 32 -bitwords. Four distinct words (128 bits) serve as a round key for each round.Four different stages are used, one of permutation and three of substitution.[4]

- SubstituteBytes: Uses a table, referred to as an S -box, to perform a byte by byte substitution of the block
- ShiftRows: A simple permutation that is performed row by row
- MixColumns: A substitution that alters each byte in a column as function of all of the bytes in the column
- AddRoundkey: A simple bitwise XOR of the current block with a portion of the expanded key

The structure is quite simple. For both encryption and decryption, the cipher begins with an Add Round Key stage, followed by nine rounds that each includes all four stages, followed by a tenth round of three stages.

Only the Add Round Key stage makes use of the key. For this reason, the cipher begins and ends with an Add Round Key stage. Any other stage, applied at the beginning or end, is reversible without knowledge of the key and so would add no security.[4]


Figure 1: AES Structure [1]

The Add Round Key stage by itself would not be formidable. The other three stages together scramble the bits, but by themselves, they would provide no security because they do not use the key. We can view the cipher as alternating operations of XOR encryption (Add Round Key) of a block, followed by scrambling of the block (the other three stages), and followed by XOR encryption, and so on. This scheme is both efficient and highly secure.Each stage is easily reversible. For the Substitute Byte. Shift Row, and Mix Columns stages, an inverse function is used in the decryption algorithm. For theAdd Round Key stage, the inverse is achieved by X0Ring the same round keyto the block, using the result that A (I) B (I) $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}$.

As with most block ciphers, the decryption algorithm makes use of the expanded key in reverse order. However, the decryption algorithm is notidentical to
the encryption algorithm. This is a consequence of the particularstructure of AES.Once it is established that all four stages are reversible, it is easy to verify thatdecryption does recover the plaintext. [4]

### 1.4. Background

On January 2, 1997 the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) held a contest for a new encryption standard. The previous standard, DES, was no longer adequate for security. It had been the standard since November 23, 1976. Computing power had increased a lot since then and the algorithm was no longer considered safe. The earlier ciphers can be broken with ease on modern computation systems.In 1998 DES was cracked in less than three days by a specially made computer called the DES cracker. The DES cracker was created by the Electronic Frontier Foundation for less than $\$ 250,000$ and won the RSA DES Challenge II-2.It was also fartoo slow in software as it was developed for mid-1970's hardware and does not produceefficient software code. Triple DES on the other hand, has three times as many roundsas DES and is correspondingly slower. As well as this, the 64 bit block size of tripleDES and DES is not very efficient and is questionable when it comes to securityCurrent alternatives to a new encryption standard were Triple DES (3DES) and International Data Encryption Algorithm (IDEA). The problem was IDEA and 3DES were too slow and IDEA was not free to implement due to patents. NIST wanted a free and easy to implement algorithm that would provide good security. Additionally they wanted the algorithm to be efficient and flexible.[1]

What was required was a brand new encryption algorithm. One that would be resistant to all known attacks. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)wanted to help in the creation of a new standard. However, because of the controversythat went with the DES algorithm, and the years of some branches of the U.S. governmenttrying everything they could to hinder deployment of secure cryptography thiswas likely to raise strong skepticism. The problem was that NIST did actually wantto help create a new excellent encryption standard but they couldn't get involved directly.Unfortunately they were really the only ones with the technical reputation and resources to the lead the effort.[1]

Table 1: First Round Qualifiers [3]

| ALGORITHM NAME | SUBMITTER |
| :---: | :---: |
| CAST-256 | Entrust Technologies, Inc. |
| CRYPTON | Future Systems, Inc. |
| DEAL | Richard Outerbridge, Lars Knudsen <br> RechercheScientifique - la <br> ReoleNormaleSuperieure <br> Ecolephen |
| DFC | NTT - Nippon Telegraph and Telephone <br> Corporation |
| E2 | TecAproInternacional S.A. |
| FROG | Rich Schroeppel |
| HPC | Lawrie Brown, Josef Pieprzyk, Jennifer |
| Seberry |  |

Instead of designing or helping to design a cipher, what they did instead was to set upa contest in which anyone in the world could take part. The contest was announced on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ January 1997 and the idea was to develop a new encryption algorithm thatwould be used for protecting sensitive, non-classified, U.S. government information. The ciphers had to meet a lot of requirements and the whole design had to be fully documented(unlike the DES cipher). Once the candidate algorithms had been submitted, several years of scrutiny in the form of cryptographic conferences took place. Inthe first round of the competition 15 algorithms were accepted and this was narrowedto 5 in the second round. The fifteen algorithms are shown in table below of which the 5that were selected are shown in bold. The algorithms were tested for efficiency andsecurity both by some of the world's best publicly renowned cryptographers and NISTitself.

After holding the contest for three years, NIST chose an algorithm created by two Belgian computer scientists, Vincent Rijmen and Joan Daemen. On November 26, 2001 the Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 197 announced a standardized form of the Rijndael algorithm as the new standard for encryption. This
standard was called Advanced Encryption Standard and is currently the standard for encryption.[1]

### 1.5. Definitions

Cryptography: Cryptography is the science of secret codes, enabling the confidentiality of communication through an insecure channel. It protects against unauthorized parties by preventing unauthorized alteration of use. Generally speaking, it uses a cryptographic system to transform a plaintext into a cipher text most of the time using a key. It has different Encryption and Decryption algorithms to do so.

Cipher Text: This is the scrambled message produced as output from Encryption algorithm. It depends on the plaintext and the secret key. For a given message, two different keys will produce two different cipher texts.

Encryption: Encryption is the process of converting data, in plain text format into a meaningless cipher text by means of a suitable algorithm. The algorithm takes secretkey and plain text as input and produces cipher text.

Decryption: Decryptionis converting the meaningless cipher text into the originalinformation using decryption algorithms. The decryption algorithm is inverse ofencryption algorithm. This takes key and cipher text as input and produces original plain text.

Symmetric key cryptography: Symmetric cryptography uses the same secret (private) key to encrypt and decrypt its data. It requires that the secret key be known by the party encrypting thedata and the party decrypting the data.

Asymmetric key cryptography: Asymmetric uses both a public and private key. This allows for distribution of your public key to anyone with which they can encrypt the data they want to sendsecurely and then it can only be decoded by the person having the private key.[2]

### 1.6. AES vs DES

There is a huge, important difference between these two encryption and decryption algorithms, Data Encryption Standard (DES) and the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES): AES is secure while DES is not.The federal government developed DES encryption algorithms more than 30 years ago to provide
cryptographic security for all government communications. The idea was to ensure government systems all used the same, secure standard to facilitate interconnectivity. DES served as the cornerstone of government cryptography for more than two decades, but in 1999 researchers broke the algorithm's 56-bit key using a distributed computer system.AES data encryption is a more mathematically efficient and elegant cryptographic algorithm, but its main strength rests in the key length options. The time required to crack an encryption algorithm is directly related to the length of the key used to secure the communication. AES allows you to choose a 128-bit, 192-bit or 256 -bit key, making it exponentially stronger than the 56 -bit key of DES.

Data Encryption Standard is a rather old way of encrypting data so that the information could not be read by other people who might be intercepting traffic. DES is rather quite old and has since been replaced by a newer and better Advanced Encryption Standard. The replacement was done due to the inherent weaknesses in DES that allowed the encryption to be broken using certain methods of attack. Common applications of AES, as of the moment, are still impervious to any type of cracking techniques, which makes it a good choice even for top secret information.

The inherent weakness in DES is caused by a couple of things that are already addressed in AES. The first is the very short 56 bit encryption key. The key is like a password that is necessary in order to decrypt the information. A 56 bit has a maximum of 256 combinations, which might seem like a lot but is rather easy for a computer to do a brute force attack on. AES can use a 128 , 192, or 256 bit encryption key with $2^{\wedge} 128,2^{\wedge} 192$, $2^{\wedge} 256$ combinations respectively. The longer encryption keys make it much harder to break given that the system has no other weaknesses.

Another problem is the small block size used by DES, which is set at 64 bits. In comparison, AES uses a block size that is twice as long at 128 bits. In simple terms, the block size determines how much information you can send before you start having identical blocks, which leak information. People can intercept these blocks and use read the leaked information. For DES with 64 bits, the maximum amount of data that can be transferred with a single encryption key is 32 GB ; at this point another key needs to be used. With AES, it is at 256 exabytes or 256 billion gigabytes. It is probably safe to say that you can use a single AES encryption key for any application.

In terms of structure, DES uses the Feistel network which divides the block into two halves before going through the encryption steps. AES on the other hand, uses
permutation-substitution, which involves a series of substitution and permutation steps to create the encrypted block.Summing up we can say that:

- DES is really old while AES is relatively new
- DES is breakable while AES is still unbreakable
- DES uses a much smaller key size compared to AES
- DES uses a smaller block size compared to AES
- DES uses a balanced Feistel structure while AES uses substitutionpermutation [4]


### 1.7. AES vs 3DES

Advance Encryption Standard (AES) and Triple DES (TDES or 3DES) are commonly used block ciphers. Whether you choose AES or 3DES depend on your needs. DES was developed in 1977 and it was carefully designed to work better in hardware than software. DES performs lots of bit manipulation in substitution and permutation boxes in each of 16 rounds. Even though it seems large but according to today's computing power it is not sufficient and vulnerable to brute force attack. Therefore, DES could not keep up with advancement in technology and it is no longer appropriate for security. Because DES was widely used at that time, the quick solution was to introduce 3DES which is secure enough for most purposes today. 3DES is a construction of applying DES three times in sequence. 3DES with three different keys (K1, K2 and K3) has effective key length is 168 bits (The use of three distinct key is recommended of 3DES.). Another variation is called two-key (K1 and K3 is same) 3DES reduces the effective key size to 112 bits which is less secure. Two-key 3DES is widely used in electronic payments industry. 3DES takes three times as much CPU power than compare with its predecessor which is significant performance hit. AES outperforms 3DES both in software and in hardware.

AES (Advanced Encryption Standard) and 3DES, or also known as Triple DES (Data Encryption Standard) are two of the current standards in data encryption. While AES is a totally new encryption that uses the substitution-permutation network, 3DES is just an adaptation to the older DES encryption that relied on the balanced Feistel network. Basically, 3DES is just DES applied three times to the information that is being encrypted.

AES uses three common encryption key lengths, 128, 192, and 256 bits. When it comes to 3DES the encryption key is still limited to 56 bits as dictated by the DES standard. But since it is applied three times, the implementer can choose to have 3 discrete 56 bit keys, or 2 identical and 1 discrete, or even three identical keys. This means that 3DES can have encryption key lengths of 168, 112, or 56 bit encryption key lengths respectively. But due to certain vulnerabilities when reapplying the same encryption thrice, using 168 bits has a reduced security equivalent to 112 bits and using 112 bits has a reduced security equivalent to 80 bits.

3DES also uses the same block length of 64 bits, half the size that of AES at 128 bits. Using AES provides additional insurance that it is harder to sniff leaked data from identical blocks. When using 3DES, the user needs to switch encryption keys every 32GB of data transfer to minimize the possibility of leaks; identical to when using the standard DES encryption.

Lastly, repeating the same process three times does take some time. With all things held constant, AES is much faster compared to 3DES. This line gets blurred when you include software, hardware, and the complexity of hardware design to the mix. So if you have 3DES accelerated hardware, migrating to AES implemented by software alone may result in slower processing times. In this aspect, there is not better solution than to test each one and measure their speed. But when it comes to security, AES is the sure winner as it is still considered unbreakable in practical use.Summing up:

- 3DES uses identical encryption to DES while AES uses a totally different
- 3DES has shorter and weaker encryption keys compared to AES
- 3DES uses repeating encryption keys while AES does not
- 3DES also uses a shorter block length compared to AES
- 3DES encryption takes longer than AES encryption [4]


### 1.8. AES vs RSA

RSA is one of the most successful, asymmetric encryption systems today. Originally discovered 1973 by the British intelligence agency GCHQ, it received the classification "top secret". Its civil rediscovery is owned to the cryptologists Rivest, Shamir and Adleman, who discovered it during an attempt to break another
cryptographic problem. As opposed to traditional, symmetric encryption systems, RSA works with two different keys: A "public" key, and a "private" one. Both work complementary to each other, a message encrypted with one of them can only be decrypted by its counterpart. Since the private key can't be calculated from the public key, the latter is generally made available to the public. Those properties enable asymmetric cryptosystems to be used in a wide array of functions, such as digital signatures. In the process of signing a document, a fingerprint, encrypted with RSA, is appended to the file, and enables the receiver to verify both the sender and the integrity of the document.

The security of RSA itself is mainly based on the mathematical problem of integer factorization. A message that is about to be encrypted is treated as one large number. When encrypting the message, it is raised to the power of the key, and divided with remainder by a fixed product of two primes. By repeating the process with the other key, the plaintext can be retrieved back. The best, currently known method to break the encryption requires factorizing the product used in the division. Currently, it is not possible to calculate these factors for numbers greater than 768 bits. None the less, modern cryptosystems use a minimum key length of 3072 bits.

As first publicly accessible, from the NSA for the classification "top secret" approved cipher, the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) is one of the most frequently used and most secure encryption algorithms available today. Its story of success started 1997, when the National Institute of Standards and Technology NIST announced the search for a successor to the aging encryption standard DES. An algorithm named "Rijndael", developed by the Belgian cryptographists Daemen and Rijmen, excelled in security as well as in performance and flexibility. It came out on top of several competitors, and was officially announced as the new encryption standard AES in 2001. The algorithm is based on several substitutions, permutations and linear transformations, each executed on data blocks of 16 byte - therefore the term blockcipher. Those operations are repeated several times, called "rounds". During each round, a unique roundkey is calculated out of the encryption key, and incorporated in the calculations. Based on this block structure of AES, the change of a single bit either in the key, or in the plaintext block results in a completely different ciphertext block - a clear advantage over traditional stream ciphers. The difference between AES-128, AES-192 and AES-256 finally is the length of the key: 128, 192 or

256 bit - all drastic improvements compared to the 56 bit key of DES. By way of illustration: Cracking a 128 bit AES key with a state-of-the-art supercomputer would take longer than the presumed age of the universe. And Boxcryptor even uses 256 bit keys! As of today, no practicable attack against AES exists. Therefore, AES remains the preferred encryption standard for governments, banks and high security systems around the world.

They're not really directly comparable. The number commonly bandied about is 2048 -bit RSA is about equivalent to 128 -bit AES. But that number shouldn't be relied on without understanding the caveats. Currently the most effective way of breaking AES crypto (and any other unbroken symmetric cipher, for that matter) is brute-force. You simply try every possibility until you reach the correct result. This means that it is possible, and well within today's technology, to encrypt data that (assuming no better attack is ever found), can never be broken, ever, by anyone. Simply use enough bits in your key such that there isn't enough energy in the universe to try enough candidate keys. The numbers are smaller than you'd think: Indeed, with AES, 128-bit is secure against modern technology, 256 is secure against any likely future technology, and 512 is probably secure against even never-imagined hypothetical alien technology.

Symmetric encryption, if not broken, doesn't leave you with a math problem to solve. The numbers are truly and literally scrambled, and the system is devised such the brute-force is by far the most efficient solution. Breaking RSA, on the other hand, is not so hard. Instead of brute-forcing the keys, you factor the modulus into primes and derive the keys yourself. This is dramatically simpler to do. It's a math problem, and we can do math. Specifically, the speed at which primes can be factored is increasing faster than the speed at which symmetric keys can be brute-forced. And that's with today's technology. But going forward, assuming quantum computers can be improved such that qbit operations are a cheap as bit operations (which many people thinks is fairly close; this century at most, possibly decades), then no matter how large you make your RSA key, breaking the key is as fast as encrypting.

Summing up one would say that equivalent security of RSA key length versus AES key length changes over time. Every so often, you have to increase your RSA key size relative to your AES key size to account for technological advances. And
even then, it's an estimate at best. And while a 256 -bit symmetric key should be secure for hundreds, thousands, or perhaps hundreds of thousands of years, no RSA key of any length should be assumed to be secure more than a few dozen years out, since RSA is expected to be completely and utterly broken by Shor's algorithm. [3]

Table 2: Comparison between DES, AES and RSA [3]

| S.NO. | FACTOR | DES | AES | RSA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Developed | 1977 | 2000 | 1978 |
| 2 | Key Length Value | 56 bit | $\begin{gathered} 128,192 \text { and } \\ 256 \text { bits } \end{gathered}$ | >1024 bits |
| 3 | Type of Algorithm | Symmetric | Symmetric | Asymmetric |
| 4 | Encryption Ratio | Low | High | High |
| 5 | Security Attacks | Inadequate | Highly Secured | Timing attack |
| 6 | Simulation Speed | Fast | Fast | Fast |
| 7 | Scalability | Scalable algorithm | No scalability occurs | No scalability occurs |
| 8 | Power Consumption | Low | Low | High |
| 9 | Hardware and Software Implementations | Better in hardware than in software | Faster and efficient | Not very efficient |

### 1.9. Organization of the Report

This report document comprises of five chapters. The Chapter 1 gives the overview to AES algorithm, basic definitions of terms that are used in this report and purpose of project and also gives the motivation behind implementing this project. Chapter 2 gives the details of requirements for implementing the project.It gives hardware, software and user requirements and the performance parameters taken into consideration.Chapter 3gives the research analysis regarding AES algorithm. Chapter 4 gives the details of each modules used in this project and some implementation details. Chapter 5 give implementation of core components. Chapter 6 gives conclusion, limitations and further enhancement to the project. References section provide source detail where we get information. Appendix contains snapshots of the project code execution.

## Chapter 2

## System Requirement Specification

The following are the system requirements:

### 2.1. Hardware Requirements

- 512MB RAM or above
- X86 or above processor
- 2MB Secondary memory or above


### 2.2. Software Requirements

- Operating System: LINUX, Windows
- Language used: Java
- Editor: Eclipse IDE


### 2.3. Functional Requirements

The functional requirements for the implementation are as follows:

### 2.3.1. Input Specification

- An input file/string type variable should contain some data. That can be used as plain text for encryption
- Secret key used for encryption should of 128bits, 192bits or 256bits


### 2.3.2. Output Specification

- The second party should know secret key that used for encryption.
- After providing secret key as input, it displays the original plain text.


### 2.4. Performance Parameters

The performance of AES algorithm can be measured by considering following parameters:

### 2.4.1. Time Taken

The time taken for encryption as well as decryption of a given plain text is calculated by using system clock time:The system clock is recorded twice i.e. before and afterthe execution of the encryption module and their difference yields the time taken for encryption. The same procedure is followed to calculate decryption time, just that decryption module is invoked instead. [5]

### 2.4.2. Throughput

In computer technology, throughput is the amount of work that a computer can do in a given time period. Throughput is one of the key factors to measure performance of an algorithm. In case of AES, throughput depends on size of block as well as time taken for encryption/decryption given by:

$$
T=\frac{b l o c k \text { size }}{t}
$$

Where,
T- Throughput
t - Time taken to encrypt/decrypt

### 2.5 Conclusion

System requirement specified.

## Chapter 3

## Literature Review

At present, there are many research achievements in the field of block cipher. Especially, the Advanced Encryption Standard AES algorithm should be considered the excellent representative of all the researches. When the data encryption standard was replaced by the advanced encryption standard, the whole world shifted their concern on the AES algorithm. Some research showed that the AES algorithm can be implemented with increased speed by shifting, XOR and looking up tables, etc. The analysis of some research work on AES algorithm based on increasing its speed and level of security by altering the parameters that have been described below:

Table 3: Research Analysis [6], [7], [8]

| Author | Name | Year | Technique | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deguang Le, Jinyi Chang, Xingdou Gou, Ankang Zhang, Conglan Lu | Parallel AES <br> Algorithm for Fast Data Encryption on GPU | 2010 | Parallel <br> encryption to design a fast data encryption system based on GPU. | Speedup=GPU_Time/ <br> CPU_Time <br> (For plaintext sizes: <br> 10KB Speedup=2 <br> 1MB Speedup=4 <br> 200MB Speedup=7) |
| Vishal Pachori, Gunjan Ansari, Neha Chaudhary | Improved Performance of Advance Encryption Standard using Parallel Computing | 2012 | Parallel <br> Implementation of AES using Java Parallel Programming Framework | Speed up achieved for data parallelism and control parallelism is up to 2.16 |
| RituPahal, Vikaskumar | Efficient Implementati on of AES | 2013 | The same conventional algorithm is implemented for 200 bit block as well as key size. | Encryption time decreased by $20 \%$ Throughput is : $\mathrm{T}=200 / \mathrm{t}$ <br> (conventional being $\mathrm{T}=128 / \mathrm{t})$ |

### 3.1.Increasing the Block Size

Symmetric cryptography, such as in the Data Encryption Standard (DES), 3DES, and Advanced Encryption Standard (AES), uses an identical key for the sender and receiver, both to encrypt the message text and decrypt the cipher text. Symmetric cryptography is more suitable for the encryption of a large amount of data. The AES
algorithm defined by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) of the United States has been widely accepted to replace DES as the new symmetric encryption algorithm. The AES algorithm is a symmetric block cipher that processes data blocks of 128 bits using a cipher key of length 128,192 , or 256 bits. Each data block consists of a $4 \times 4$ array of bytes called the state, on which the basic operations of the AES algorithm are performed.

The proposed algorithm differs from conventional AES [7] as it has 200 bits block size and key size both. Number of rounds is constant and equal to ten in this algorithm. The key expansion and substitution box generation are done in the same way as in conventional AES block cipher. AES has 10 rounds for 128-bit keys, 12 rounds for 192-bit keys, and 14 rounds for 256 -bit keys and the same conventional 128 bit conventional AES algorithm is implemented for 200 bit using 5*5 Matrix. After the implementation, the proposed work is compared with 128 bit, 192 bits \& 256 bits AES techniques on two points. These points are encryption and decryption time and throughput at both encryption and decryption sides.

At the start of encryption, 200 bit input is copied to the State array of $5 * 5$ matrix. The data bytes are filled first in the column then in the rows. Then after the initial round key addition, ten rounds of encryption are performed. The first nine rounds are same, with small difference in the final round. Each of the first nine rounds consists of 4 transformations: SubBytes, ShiftRows, MixColumns and AddRoundKey. But in final round Mixcolumns transformation is not used.

- SubBytes Transformation - In this transformation, each of the byte in the state matrix is replaced with another byte as per the S-box. The S-box is generated by firstly calculating the respective reciprocal of that byte in GF ( $2^{\wedge} 8$ ) and then affine transform is applied.
- ShiftRows Transformation - In this transformation, the bytes in the first row of the State do not change. The second, third, fourth and fifth rows shift cyclically to the left by one byte, two bytes, three bytes and four bytes respectively.

| $\mathrm{S}_{0,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,4}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,4}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,4}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{4,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,4}$ |



| $\mathrm{S}_{0,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{0,4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1,0}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2,1}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3,3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3,2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{4,4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4,3}$ |

Figure 2: Shift Rows Transformation [7]

- MixColumns Transformation - It is the operation that mixes the bytes in each column by the multiplication of the state with a fixed polynomial matrix. It completely changes the scenario of the cipher even if the all bytes look very similar. The Inverse Polynomial Matrix does exist in order to reverse the mix column transformation.
- AddRoundKey Transformation - In AddRoundKey transformation, a roundkey is added to the State by bitwise Exclusive-OR (XOR) operation.

| 02 | 04 | 03 | 01 | 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 01 | 02 | 04 | 03 | 01 |
| 01 | 01 | 02 | 04 | 03 |
| 03 | 01 | 01 | 02 | 04 |
| 04 | 03 | 01 | 01 | 02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| E0 | 7 D | 09 | 8 A | 4 C |
| 4 C | E0 | 7 D | 09 | 8 A |
| 8 A | 4 C | E0 | 7 D | 09 |
| 09 | 8 A | 4 C | E0 | 7 D |
| 7 D | 09 | 8 A | 4 C | E0 |

Figure 3: Polynomial Matrix and Its Inverse for mix column transformation [7]
The Decryption structure of proposed algorithm is obtained by inverting the encryption structure. Corresponding to the transformations in the encryption, InvSubBytes, InvShiftRows, InvMixColumns, and AddRoundKey are the transformations used in the decryption. The roundkeys are the same as those in encryption generated by Key Expansion, but are used in reverse order.

From the experimentation results it is deduced that for large block of data AES-200 encryption time per bit is reduced up to $20 \%$ and decryption time per bit is increased up to $25 \%$. The throughput may be defined as number of bits that can be encrypted or decrypted during one unit of time. As it was mentioned earlier that all AES variant has equal block size of 128 bits and the proposed algorithm has block size of 200 bits. Thus, in form of equation the throughput may be defined as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { THRCA }=\frac{128}{\text { TENC }} \\
\text { THRPA }=\frac{200}{\text { TPENC }}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where, THRCA is representation of throughput for conventional algorithms, THRPA is representation of throughput for proposed algorithm, TENCdenotes the time taken to encrypt the 128 bit block message, PENC represents time taken to encrypt the 200 bit block message of conventional algorithm.

It is observed that the throughput at encryption end of AES-200 is $15 \%$ more than AES-128, 20\% more than AES-192 and 30\% more than AES-256. The decryption process of AES-200 is slower than conventional AES, the proposed algorithm is $50 \%$ slower from AES-128, 40\% from AES-192, and 25\% from AES-256. [7]

### 3.2. Parallel Execution

To improve the performance of AES algorithm using parallel computing there are two major approaches Control Parallelism and Data Parallelism [8].

In Data Parallelism the data is divided into more than one part and send different part to different nodes for execution. Each node is executing the same procedure or function but on different data. This approach is very effective when there is large data to process. AES can be implemented in the following manner using DATA parallelism. Server sends Plaintext with the Key on node 1 and it will compute the cipher text by running the AES algorithm and finally sends the result back to the Server. Node 2 follows the same procedure. The number of nodes can be increased according to our requirement and number of processing units available.

In Control Parallelism the operation or function is divided instead of data. The different operation or function is assigned to different nodes and then finally the output is send to the server for final processing. Although it is less scalable then data parallelism but more speed up can be achieved by this approach. In control parallelism approach, the four main operations in AES algorithm are divided into two parts and combination of these operations is Operation 1 and Operation 2. Node 1 will execute only operation 1 and Node 2 will perform only operation 2 . Nodes will communicate the result of each other when needed.

The performance of proposed architecture is measured in terms of execution time. The performance is measured on 256 bits of data and on two nodes or processing units.The execution time of converting 256 bits plain text into cipher text on Java Parallel Programming Framework using two nodes. The time taken by single core to encrypt 256 bits of data is 14,15 and 13 seconds in different run. The time taken by the 1 st run is more than the time taken in the subsequent run because in the first run the Hazelcast Framework is loaded which takes time to load. In the subsequent runs the time taken by the modified AES algorithm is almost same i.e. execution time gets stable. Speed up of the modified AES algorithm is shown below:

$$
\text { Speed } U p=\frac{\text { Time taken by serial algorithm }}{\text { Time taken by parallel algorithm }}
$$

Speed up for Data parallelism (1st run) $=15 / 10=1.5$
Speed up for Data parallelism (2nd run) $=14 / 7=2.0$
Speed up for Data parallelism (3rd run) $=13 / 6=2.16$
Speed up for Data parallelism (4th run) $=13 / 7=1.85$
Speed up for Control parallelism (1st run) $=15 / 11=1.36$
Speed up for Control parallelism (2nd run) $=14 / 7=2.0$
Speed up for Control parallelism (3rd run) $=13 / 6=2.16$
Speed up for Control parallelism (4th run) $=13 / 6=2.16$
In order to overcome the issue of low efficiency over thetraditional CPU-based implementation of AES [6], researchers designed and implementedthe parallel AES algorithm based on GPU. The implementation achieves up to 7 x speedup over
theimplementation of AES on a comparable CPU. The implementation can be applied for the computer forensicswhich requires high speed of data encryption. [8]

### 3.3 Conclusion

Different advantages of AES learned. We also learned that AES is optimal for Cloud Computing Security.

## Chapter 4

## Design and Implementation

AES algorithm is the current standard for symmetric key encryption, this section gives a detailed explanation about the various permutation and substitution steps followed in order to perform encryption and decryption.

### 4.1. Detailed Description

The following is the brief overview of various terminologies used in implementation of the AES algorithm:

### 4.1.1. Terminology

State: Defines the current condition (state) of the block. That is the block of bytes that are currently being worked on. The state starts off being equal to the block, however it changes as each round of the algorithms executes. Plainly said this is the block in progress. [9]

Hex to Decimal table:

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 110 | 111 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 118 | 119 | 120 | 121 | 122 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 126 | 127 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 128 | 129 | 130 | 131 | 132 | 133 | 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 | 138 | 139 | 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 144 | 145 | 146 | 147 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 | 156 | 157 | 158 | 159 |
| A | 160 | 161 | 162 | 163 | 164 | 165 | 166 | 167 | 168 | 169 | 170 | 171 | 172 | 173 | 174 | 175 |
| B | 176 | 177 | 178 | 179 | 180 | 181 | 182 | 183 | 184 | 185 | 186 | 187 | 188 | 189 | 190 | 191 |
| C | 192 | 193 | 194 | 195 | 196 | 197 | 198 | 199 | 200 | 201 | 202 | 203 | 204 | 205 | 206 | 207 |
| D | 208 | 209 | 210 | 211 | 212 | 213 | 214 | 215 | 216 | 217 | 218 | 219 | 220 | 221 | 222 | 223 |
| E | 224 | 225 | 226 | 227 | 228 | 229 | 230 | 231 | 232 | 233 | 234 | 235 | 236 | 237 | 238 | 239 |
| F | 240 | 241 | 242 | 243 | 244 | 245 | 246 | 247 | 248 | 249 | 250 | 251 | 252 | 253 | 254 | 255 |

For example using the above table HEX D4 = DEC 212

Figure 4: HEX Matrix [9]
Block: AES is a block cipher. This means that the number of bytes that it encrypts is fixed. AES can currently encrypt blocks of w 16 bytes at a time; no other block sizes
are presently a part of the AES standard. If the bytes being encrypted are larger than the specified block then AES is executed concurrently. This also means that AES has to encrypt a minimum of 16 bytes. If the plain text is smaller than 16 bytes then it must be padded. Simply said the block is a reference to the bytes that are processed by the algorithm.

HEX: Defines a notation of numbers in base 16. This simply means that; the highest number that can be represented in a single digit is 15 , rather than the usual 9 in the decimal (base 10) system.

XOR: Refers to the bitwise operator Exclusive Or. XOR operates on the individual bits in a byte in the following way:

0 XOR $0=0$

1 XOR $0=1$
1 XOR $1=0$

0 XOR $1=1$
Most programming languages have the XOR operator built in. Another interesting property of the XOR operator is that it is reversible.

So Hex 2B XOR FF = D4.AES is an iterated symmetric block cipher, which means that:

- AES works by repeating the same defined steps multiple times.
- AES is a secret key encryption algorithm.
- AES operates on a fixed number of bytes

AES as well as most encryption algorithms is reversible. This means that almost the same steps are performed tocomplete both encryption and decryption in reverse order. The AES algorithm operates on bytes, which makes it simpler to implement and explain.This key is expanded into individual sub keys, a sub keys for each operation round. This process is called KeyExpansion, which is described at the end of this document. As mentioned before AES is an iterated block cipher. All that means is that
the same operations are performed many timeson a fixed number of bytes. These operations can easily be broken down to the following functions:

- ADD ROUND KEY
- SUB BYTE
- SHIFT ROW
- MIX COLUMN

An iteration of the above steps is called a round. The amount of rounds of the algorithm depends on the key size. The only exception being that in the last round the Mix Column step is not performed to make the algorithm reversible during decryption.

Table 4: Number of rounds for various key sizes [3]

| Key Size <br> (Bytes) | Block Size <br> (Bytes) | Rounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 16 | 10 |
| 24 | 16 | 12 |
| 32 | 16 | 14 |

## Encryption

The following tables illustrates the number of rounds required for encryption depending on different key size length:

Table 5: AES Encryption cipher using 16-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State))))) |
| 2 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 3 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 4 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 5 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 6 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 7 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 8 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 9 | Add Round Key(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State))) |

Table 6: AES Encryption cipher using 24-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 2 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 3 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 4 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 5 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 6 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 7 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 8 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 9 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 10 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 11 | Add Round Key(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State))) |

Table 7: AES Encryption cipher using 32-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 2 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 3 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 4 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 5 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 6 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 7 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 8 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 9 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 10 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 11 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 12 | Add Round Key(Mix Column(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State)))) |
| 13 | Add Round Key(Shift Row(Byte Sub(State))) |

## Decryption

The following tables illustrates the number of rounds required for encryption depending on different key size length:

Table 8: AES Decryption cipher using 16-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 2 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 3 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 4 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 5 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 6 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 7 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 8 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 9 | Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State))) |

Table 9: AES Decryption cipher using 24-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 2 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 3 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 4 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 5 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 6 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 7 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 8 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 9 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 10 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 11 | Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State))) |

Table 10: AES Decryption cipher using 32-bit key [3]

| Round | Function |
| :---: | :--- |
| - | Add Round Key(State) |
| 1 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 2 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 3 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 4 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 5 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 6 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 7 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 8 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 9 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 10 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 11 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 12 | Mix Column(Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State)))) |
| 13 | Add Round Key(Byte Sub(Shift Row(State))) |

### 4.2. AES Cipher Functions

Given below is the detailed description of all the 4 functions and the corresponding inverse functions that are used in various rounds of encryption as well as decryption process:

### 4.2.1. Add Round Key

Each of the 16 bytes of the state is XORed against each of the 16 bytes of a portion of the expanded key for the current round.

The first time Add Round Key gets executed

| State | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR |
| Exp Key | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |

The second time Add Round Key is executed

| State | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR | XOR |
| EXP Key | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |

And so on for each round of execution.
Figure 5: Working of Add Round Key [1]

The Expanded Key bytes are never reused. So once the first 16 bytes are XORed against the first 16 bytes of the expanded key then the expanded key bytes 1-16 are never used again. The next time the AddRound Key function is called bytes 17-32 are XORed against the state.

### 4.2.2. Byte Sub

During encryption each value of the state is replaced with the corresponding SBOX value.

|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 63 | 7 C | 77 | 7B | F2 | 6B | 6F | C5 | 30 | 01 | 7 | 2B | FE | 7 |  |  |
| 1 | CA | 82 | C9 | 7D | FA | 59 | 47 | F0 | AD | D 4 | A2 | AF | 9C |  |  |  |
| 2 | B7 | FD | 93 | 26 | 36 | 3F | F7 | C | 34 | A5 | E5 |  | 71 | D8 |  |  |
| 3 | 04 | C7 | 23 | C3 | 18 | 96 | 05 | 9A | 07 | 12 | 80 | E2 | EB | 27 |  |  |
|  | 09 | 83 | 2 C | 1A | 1B | 6E | 5A | A0 | 52 | 3B | D6 | B3 | 29 | E3 | 2F |  |
| 5 | 53 | D1 | 00 | ED | 20 | FC | B1 | 5B | 6 A | CB | BE | 39 | 4A | 4 C | 8 |  |
| 6 | D0 | EF | AA | FB | 43 | 4D | 33 | 85 | 45 | F9 | 02 | 7 F | 50 | 3C |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8F | 92 | 9 D | 38 | F5 | BC | B6 | DA | 21 | 10 | F |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EC | 5F | 97 | 4 | 17 | C4 | A7 | 7 E | 3D | 64 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 60 | 81 | F | C | 22 | 2A | 90 | 88 | 46 | EE | B8 | 14 | DE | E | B |  |
| A | E0 | 32 | 3A | 0A | 49 | 06 | 24 | 5 C | C2 | D3 | C | 62 |  | 95 |  |  |
|  | E7 | C8 | 37 | 6D | 8D | D5 | 4E | A9 | 6C | 56 | 4 | A | 65 | 7A |  |  |
|  | BA | 78 | 25 | 2E | 1 | A6 | B4 | C6 | E8 | DD | 74 | 1 F | 4 B | D |  |  |
|  | 70 | 3E | B5 | 66 | 48 | 03 | F6 | 0E | 61 | 35 | 57 | B9 | 86 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 98 | 11 | 69 |  | 8 E | 94 | 9B | 1 E | 87 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6: SBOX [1]

For example HEX 19 would get replaced with HEX D4

Whereas during decryption each value in the state is replaced with the corresponding inverse of the SBOX.

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 52 | 0 | 6A | D5 | 30 | 36 | A 5 | 38 | B | 40 | A3 | 9 E | 81 | F3 | D7 |
| 1 | 7 C | E3 | 39 | 82 | 9B | 2 F | FF | 87 | 34 | 8E | 43 | 4 | C4 | D | E |
| 2 | 54 | 7 B | 94 | 32 | A | C2 | 2 | 3D | E | 4 C | 9 | 0 B | 42 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | A1 |  |  | D9 | 24 | B2 | 76 |  | A2 | 4 | 6D |  |  |
| 4 | 72 | F8 | F6 | , | 86 | 68 | 98 | 16 | D4 | A4 | 5C | CC | 5 | 65 |  |
| 5 |  | 0 | 8 | 50 | FD | ED | B9 | DA | 5 | 1 | 46 | 57 | A 7 | 8D |  |
| 6 |  | D8 | A | 0 |  | BC |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | D0 | 2C | 1E | 8 | CA | 3 | 0F | 02 | C1 | AF | BD | 03 | 01 | 13 |  |
| 8 | 3A | 91 | 11 | 41 | 4F | 67 | D | EA | 97 | F2 | CF | CE | F0 | B4 | E6 |
| 9 |  | AC | 74 | 22 | E7 | AD | 35 | 85 | E2 | F9 | 37 | E8 | 1C | 75 |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | C5 |  |  | B7 | 62 | 0 E | AA | 18 |  |
| B | FC | 56 | 3 | 4B | C6 | D2 | 79 | 20 | 9A | DB | C | FE | 78 | CD |  |
| C | 1 F | D | A 8 | 33 | 88 | 07 | C7 | 31 | B1 | 12 | 10 | 59 | 27 | 80 |  |
| D | 60 | 51 | 7 F | A9 | 19 | B5 | 4A | 0D | 2D | E | 7A | 9 F | 93 | C9 |  |
| E | O | E0 | 3 | 4D | AE | A | F5 | B0 | C8 | EB | B | 3C | 83 | 53 |  |
|  | 17 | 2B | 04 | 7 E |  | 77 | D6 | 6 | E1 | 69 | 14 | 63 | 55 | 21 |  |

Figure 7: Inverse SBOX [1]

For example HEX D4 would get replaced with HEX 19

### 4.2.3. Shift Row

Arranges the state in a matrix and then performs a circular shift for each row. This is not a bit wise shift. The circular shift just moves each byte one space over. A byte that was in the second position may end up in the third position after the shift. The circular part of it specifies that the byte in the last position shifted one space will end upin the first position in the same row. [9]

In Detail:

- The state is arranged in a $4 \times 4$ matrix (square)
- The confusing part is that the matrix is formed vertically but shifted horizontally. So the first 4 bytes of the state will form the first bytes in each row.

So bytes 12345678910111213141516
Will form a matrix:
15913
261014
371115

Each row is then moved over (shifted) 1,2 or 3 spaces over to the right, depending on the row of the state. First row is never shifted

Row1 0
Row2 1
Row3 2
Row4 3
The following is the illustration of how the individual bytes are first arranged in the table and then moved over (shifted).

Blocks 16 bytes long:

| From | To |
| :--- | :--- |
| 15913 | 15913 |
| 261014 | 610142 |
| 371115 | 111537 |
| 481216 | 164812 |

During decryption the same process is reversed and all rows are shifted to the left:
From To
$15913 \quad 15913$
261014142610
$371115 \quad 111537$
$481216 \quad 812164$

### 4.2.4. Mix Column

This is perhaps the hardest step to both understand and explain. There are two parts to this step. The first will explainwhich parts of the state are multiplied against which parts of the matrix. [9]

## Matrix Multiplication:

The state is arranged into a 4 row table (as described in the Shift Row function).
The multiplication is performed one column at a time ( 4 bytes). Each value in the column is eventually multiplied against every value of the matrix ( 16 total multiplications). The results of these multiplications are XORed together to produce only 4 result bytes for the next state. Therefore 4 bytes input, 16 multiplications 12

XORs and 4 bytes output. The multiplication is performed one matrix row at a time against each value of a state column.

## Multiplication Matrix

2311
1231
1123
3112

16 byte State
b1 b5 b9 b13
b2 b6 b10 b14
b3 b7 b11 b15
b4 b8 b12 b16

The first result byte is calculated by multiplying 4 values of the state column against 4 values of the first row of the matrix. The result of each multiplication is then XORed to produce 1 byte:
$\mathrm{b} 1=(\mathrm{b} 1 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 1)$

The second result byte is calculated by multiplying the same 4 values of the state column against 4 values of the second row of the matrix. The result of each multiplication is then XORed to produce 1 byte:
$\mathrm{b} 2=(\mathrm{b} 1 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 1)$

The third result byte is calculated by multiplying the same 4 values of the state column against 4 values of the third row of the matrix. The result of each multiplication is then XORed to produce 1 byte:
$\mathrm{b} 3=(\mathrm{b} 1 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 3)$

The fourth result byte is calculated by multiplying the same 4 values of the state column against 4 values of the fourth row of the matrix. The result of each multiplication is then XORed to produce 1 byte:
$\mathrm{b} 4=(\mathrm{b} 1 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 2)$

This procedure is repeated again with the next column of the state, until there are no more state columns.

Putting it all together:
The first column will include state bytes 1-4 and will be multiplied against the matrix in the following manner:
$\mathrm{b} 1=(\mathrm{b} 1$ * 2) $\operatorname{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 1) \operatorname{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 1)$
$\mathrm{b} 2=(\mathrm{b} 1 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 1)$
$\mathrm{b} 3=(\mathrm{b} 1$ * 1) $\operatorname{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 2 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 3 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 4 * 3)$
$\mathrm{b} 4=(\mathrm{b} 1$ * 3) XOR (b2*1) XOR (b3*1) XOR (b4*2)
(b1 = specifies the first byte of the state)

The second column will be multiplied against the second row of the matrix in the following manner.
$\mathrm{b} 5=(\mathrm{b} 5 * 2) \operatorname{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 6 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 7 * 1) \operatorname{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 8 * 1)$
$\mathrm{b} 6=(\mathrm{b} 5 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 6 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 7 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 8 * 1)$
$\mathrm{b} 7=(\mathrm{b} 5 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 6 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{b} 7 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}\left(\mathrm{b} 8^{*} 3\right)$
$\mathrm{b} 8=(\mathrm{b5}$ * 3) XOR (b6*1) XOR (b7*1) XOR (b8*2)
And so on until all columns of the state are exhausted.

### 4.2.5. Mix Column Inverse

During decryption the Mix Column the multiplication matrix is changed to:
0E 0B 0D 09
09 0E 0B 0D
0D 09 0E 0B
0B 0D 09 0E
Apart from the change to the matrix table the function performs the same steps as during encryption. [9]

## Mix Column Example

The following examples are denoted in HEX.

- Mix Column Example during Encryption

Input $=$ D4 BF 5D 30
Output(0) $=(\mathrm{D} 4 * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{BF} * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(5 \mathrm{D} * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(30 * 1)$

```
= E(L(D4) + L(02)) XOR E(L(BF) + L(03)) XOR 5D XOR 30
= E(41 + 19) XOR E(9D + 01) XOR 5D XOR 30
= E(5A) XOR E(9E) XOR 5D XOR 3010
= B3 XOR DA XOR 5D XOR 30
= 04
Output(1) = (D4 * 1) XOR (BF*2) XOR (5D*3) XOR (30*1)
= D4 XOR E(L(BF)+L(02)) XOR E(L(5D)+L(03)) XOR 30
= D4 XOR E(9D+19) XOR E(88+01) XOR 30
= D4 XOR E(B6) XOR E(89) XOR 30
= D4 XOR }65\mathrm{ XOR E7 XOR 30
=66
```

Output(2) $=(\mathrm{D} 4 * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{BF} * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(5 \mathrm{D} * 2) \mathrm{XOR}(30 * 3)$
$=\mathrm{D} 4$ XOR BF XOR E(L(5D)+L(02)) XOR E(L(30)+L(03))
$=\mathrm{D} 4$ XOR BF XOR E $(88+19)$ XOR E $(65+01)$
$=\mathrm{D} 4$ XOR BF XOR E(A1) XOR E(66)
= D4 XOR BF XOR BA XOR 50
$=81$
Output(3) $=(\mathrm{D} 4 * 3) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{BF} * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(5 \mathrm{D} * 1) \mathrm{XOR}(30 * 2)$
$=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{D} 4)+\mathrm{L}(3))$ XOR BF XOR 5D XOR $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(30)+\mathrm{L}(02))$
$=\mathrm{E}(41+01) \mathrm{XOR}$ BF XOR 5D XOR E $(65+19)$
= E (42) XOR BF XOR 5D XOR E(7E)
$=67$ XOR BF XOR 5D XOR 60
= E5

- Mix Column during Decryption

Input 046681 E5
Output $(0)=(04 * 0 \mathrm{E}) \mathrm{XOR}(66 * 0 \mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{XOR}(81 * 0 \mathrm{D}) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{E} 5 * 09)$
$=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(04)+\mathrm{L}(0 \mathrm{E}))$ XOR $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(66)+\mathrm{L}(0 \mathrm{~B}))$ XOR $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(81)+\mathrm{L}(0 \mathrm{D}))$ XOR E(L(E5)+L(09))
$=\mathrm{E}(32+\mathrm{DF})$ XOR $\mathrm{E}(1 \mathrm{E}+68)$ XOR $\mathrm{E}(58+\mathrm{EE})$ XOR $\mathrm{E}(20+\mathrm{C} 7)$
$=\mathrm{E}(111-\mathrm{FF}) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{E}(86) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{E}(146-\mathrm{FF}) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{E} 7)$
= E(12) XOR E(86) XOR E(47) XOR E(E7)

```
= 38 XOR B7 XOR D7 XOR 8C
= D4
Output(1) = (04 * 09) XOR (66*0E) XOR (81*0B) XOR (E5*0D)
= E(L(04)+L(09)) XOR E(L(66)+L(0E)) XOR E(L(81)+L(0B)) XOR
E(L(E5)+L(0D))
= E(32+C7) XOR E(1E+DF) XOR E(58+68) XOR E(20+EE)
= E(F9) XOR E(FD) XOR E(C0) XOR E(10E-FF)
= E(F9) XOR E(FD) XOR E(C0) XOR E(0F)
= 24 XOR 52 XOR FC XOR 35
= BF
Output(2) = (04*0D) XOR (66*09) XOR (81*0E) XOR (E5*0B)
=E(L(04)+L(0D)) XOR E(L(66)+L(09) XOR E(L(81)+L(0E)) XOR E(L(E5)+(0B))
= E(32+EE) XOR E(1E+C7) XOR E(58+DF) XOR E(20+68)
= E(120-FF) XOR E(E5) XOR E(137-FF) XOR E(88)
= E(21) XOR E(E5) XOR E(38) XOR E(88)
= 34 XOR 7B XOR 4F XOR 5D
= 5D
Output \((3)=(04 * 0 \mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{XOR}(66 * 0 \mathrm{D}) \mathrm{XOR}(81 * 09) \mathrm{XOR}(\mathrm{E} 5 * 0 \mathrm{E})\)
\(=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(04)+\mathrm{L}(0 \mathrm{~B})) \quad \mathrm{XOR} \quad \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(66)+\mathrm{L}(0 \mathrm{D})) \quad \mathrm{XOR} \quad \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{L}(81)+\mathrm{L}(09)) \quad \mathrm{XOR}\)
E(L(E5)+L(0E))
= E(32+68) XOR E(1E+EE) XOR E(58+C7) XOR E(20+DF)
= E(9A) XOR E(10C-FF) XOR E(11F-FF) XOR E(FF)
= E(9A) XOR E(0D) XOR E(20) XOR E(FF)
= 2C XOR F8 XOR E5 XOR 01
=30
```


### 4.2.6. Key Expansion

Prior to encryption or decryption the key must be expanded. The expanded key is used in the Add Round Key function defined above. Each time the Add Round Key function is called a different part of the expanded key is XORed against the state. In orderfor this to work the Expanded Key must be large enough so that it can provide
key material for every time the AddRoundKey function is executed. The Add Round Key function gets called for each round as well as one extra time at the beginning of the algorithm. [9]

Therefore the size of the expanded key will always be equal to:
16 * (number of rounds +1 ).
The 16 in the above function is actually the size of the block in bytes. This provides key material for every byte in the block during every round +1

Since the key size is much smaller than the size of the sub keys, the key is actually stretched out to provide enough key space for the algorithm. The key expansion routine executes a maximum of 4 consecutive functions. These functions are:

## ROT WORD

## SUB WORD

RCON
EK
K
An iteration of the above steps is called a round. The amount of rounds of the key expansion algorithm depends on the key size.

Table 11: Key Expansion [3]

| Key <br> Size <br> (bytes) | Block <br> Size <br> (bytes) | Expansion <br> Algorithm <br> Rounds | Expanded <br> Bytes / <br> Round | Rounds <br> Key Copy | Rounds <br> Key <br> Expansion | Expanded <br> Key <br> (bytes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 16 | 44 | 4 | 4 | 40 | 176 |
| 24 | 16 | 52 | 4 | 6 | 46 | 208 |
| 32 | 16 | 60 | 4 | 8 | 52 | 240 |

The first bytes of the expanded key are always equal to the key. If the key is 16 bytes long the first 16 bytes of the expanded key will be the same as the original key. If the key size is 32 bytes then the first 32 bytes of the expanded key will be the same as the original key.

Each round adds 4 bytes to the Expanded Key. With the exception of the first rounds each round also takes the previousrounds 4 bytes as input operates and returns 4 bytes.One more important note is that not all of the 4 functions are always called in each round. The algorithm only calls all 4 of the functions every:

4 Rounds for a 16 byte Key
6 Rounds for a 24 byte Key

8 Rounds for a 32 byte Key
The rest of the rounds only a K function result is XORed with the result of the EK function. There is an exception of this rule where if the key is 32 bytes long an additional call to the Sub Word function is called every 8 rounds starting on the13th round.

## Key Expansion Functions

The following are the various functions used in expanding the given key:

## - Rot Word (4 bytes)

This does a circular shift on 4 bytes similar to the Shift Row Function.

## 1,2,3,4 to 2,3,4,1

- Sub Word (4 bytes)

This step applies the S-box value substitution as described in Bytes Sub function to each of the 4 bytes inthe argument.

Rcon((Round/(KeySize/4))-1)
This function returns a 4 byte value based on the following table
$\operatorname{Rcon}(0)=01000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(1)=02000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(2)=04000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(3)=08000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(4)=10000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(5)=20000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(6)=40000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(7)=80000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(8)=1 \mathrm{~B} 000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(9)=36000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(10)=6 \mathrm{C} 000000$
Rcon(11) $=$ D8000000
$R \operatorname{con}(12)=\mathrm{AB} 000000$
Rcon(13) $=4 \mathrm{D} 000000$
$\operatorname{Rcon}(14)=9 \mathrm{~A} 000000$
For example for a 16 byte key Rcon is first called in the 4th round (4/(16/4))-1=0

In this case Rcon will return 01000000

For a 24 byte key Rcon is first called in the 6th round
(6/(24/4))-1=0
In this case Rcon will also return 01000000

## - EK(Offset)

EK function returns 4 bytes of the Expanded Key after the specified offset. For example if offset is 0 then EK will return bytes $0,1,2,3$ of the Expanded Key

## - K(Offset)

K function returns 4 bytes of the Key after the specified offset. For example if offset is 0 then K will return bytes $0,1,2,3$ of the Expanded Key

Since the expansion algorithm changes depending on the length of the key, it is extremely difficult to explain inwriting. This is why the explanation of the Key Expansion Algorithm is provided in a table format.

- 16 byte Key Expansion:

Each round (except rounds $0,1,2$ and 3 ) will take the result of the previous round and produce a 4 byte result for the current round. Notice the first 4 rounds simply copy the total of 16 bytes of the key.

Table 12: 16-byte key expansion [9]

| Round | Expanded Key Bytes | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$ | K (0) |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{llll}4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$ | K (4) |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{llll}8 & 9 & 10 & 11\end{array}$ | K (8) |
| 3 | $\begin{array}{llll}12 & 13 & 14 & 15\end{array}$ | K (12) |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{llll}16 & 17 & 18 & 19\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word(EK((4-1)*4))) XOR Rcon ((4/4)-1) XOR EK((4-4)*4) |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}20 & 21 & 22 & 23\end{array}$ | EK ( $5-1$ * 4 ) XOR EK ( $(5-4) * 4)$ |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{llll}24 & 25 & 26 & 27\end{array}$ | EK ( $(6-1)$ * 4$)$ XOR EK ( $(6-4) * 4)$ |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{llll}28 & 29 & 30 & 31\end{array}$ | EK ( $7-1$ * 4 ) XOR EK ( $(7-4) * 4)$ |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{llll}32 & 33 & 34 & 35\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK( $(8-4) * 4)$ ) $\operatorname{XOR} \operatorname{Rcon}((8 / 4)-1) \operatorname{XOR~EK}((8-4) * 4)$ |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{llll}36 & 37 & 38 & 39\end{array}$ | EK ( $(8-1)$ * 4$) \mathrm{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((9-4) * 4)$ |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 41 & 42 & 43\end{array}$ | EK ( $(10-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{EK}((10-4) * 4)$ |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{llll}44 & 45 & 46 & 47\end{array}$ | EK ( $(11-1) * 4)$ XOR EK $((11-4) * 4)$ |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{llll}48 & 49 & 50 & 51\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK((12-4)*4))) XOR Rcon ((12/4)-1) XOR EK( $\left.12-4)^{*} 4\right)$ |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{llll}52 & 53 & 54 & 55\end{array}$ | EK ( $(13-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR}$ EK ( $(13-4) * 4)$ |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{llll}56 & 57 & 58 & 59\end{array}$ | EK ( (14-1)*4)XOR EK ( $(14-4) * 4)$ |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{llll}60 & 61 & 62 & 63\end{array}$ | EK ( (15-1)*4)XOR EK ( $(15-4) * 4)$ |
| 16 | $\begin{array}{llll}64 & 65 & 66 & 67\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK ( $\left.16-4)^{*} 4\right)$ ) ) XOR Rcon ( $16 / 4$ )-1) XOR EK( $\left.\left.16-4\right) * 4\right)$ |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{llll}68 & 69 & 70 & 71\end{array}$ | EK ( $(17-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{EK}((17-4) * 4)$ |
| 18 | $\begin{array}{llll}72 & 73 & 74 & 75\end{array}$ | EK ( $(18-1) * 4)$ XOR EK $((18-4) * 4)$ |
| 19 | $\begin{array}{llll}76 & 77 & 78 & 79\end{array}$ | EK((19-1)*4)XOR EK ( $(19-4) \star 4)$ |
| 20 | $\begin{array}{llll}80 & 81 & 82 & 83\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK ( $20-4$ * 4 )) ) XOR Rcon ( $20 / 4$ )-1) XOR EK( $20-4$ * 4 ) |
| 21 | $\begin{array}{llll}84 & 85 & 86 & 87\end{array}$ | EK ( $(21-1)$ * 4$) \mathrm{XOR}$ EK ( $(21-4) \star 4)$ |
| 22 | $\begin{array}{llll}88 & 89 & 90 & 91\end{array}$ | EK ( $(22-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR}$ EK ( $(22-4) * 4)$ |
| 23 | $\begin{array}{llll}92 & 93 & 94 & 95\end{array}$ | EK ( $23-1$ * 4$) \mathrm{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((23-4) * 4)$ |
| 24 | $\begin{array}{llll}96 & 97 & 98 & 99\end{array}$ | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK((24-4)*4))) XOR Rcon((24/4)-1) XOR EK((24-4)*4) |
| 25 | 100101102103 | EK ( $(25-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR}$ EK ( $(25-4) \star 4)$ |
| 26 | 104105106107 | EK ( $(26-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR}$ EK $((26-4) * 4)$ |
| 27 | 108109110111 | EK ( $(27-1)$ * 4$)$ XOR EK ( $(27-4) * 4)$ |
| 28 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}112 & 113 & 114 & 115\end{array}$ |  |
| 29 | $\begin{array}{llllll}116 & 117 & 118 & 119\end{array}$ | $\operatorname{EK}((29-1) * 4) \operatorname{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((29-4) * 4)$ |
| 30 |  | EK ( $(30-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((30-4) * 4)$ |
| 31 | $\begin{array}{llllll}124 & 125 & 126 & 127\end{array}$ | EK((31-1)*4)XOR EK ( $(31-4) * 4)$ |
| 32 |  | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK ( $\left.32-4)^{*} 4\right)$ )) XOR Rcon( $32 / 4$ - 1 ) $\operatorname{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((32-4) * 4)$ |
| 33 | $\begin{array}{llllll}132 & 133 & 134 & 135\end{array}$ | $\operatorname{EK}((33-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((33-4) * 4)$ |
| 34 | $\begin{array}{lllll}136 & 137 & 138 & 139\end{array}$ | $\operatorname{EK}((34-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \operatorname{EK}((34-4) * 4)$ |
| 35 |  | EK ( $35-1$ ) * 4 )XOR EK ( $(35-4) * 4)$ |
| 36 | 144145146147 | Sub Word (Rot Word (EK((36-4)*4))) XOR Rcon((36/4)-1) XOR EK((36-4)*4) |
| 37 | $\begin{array}{llllll}148 & 149 & 150 & 151\end{array}$ | EK ( $(37-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{EK}((37-4) \star 4)$ |
| 38 |  | $\operatorname{EK}((38-1) * 4) \mathrm{XOR} \mathrm{EK}((38-4) * 4)$ |
| 39 | 5615715815 | EK ( $(39-1)$ * 4) XOR EK |

## - 24 byte Key Expansion

Each round (except rounds $0,1,2,3,4$ and 5 ) will take the result of the previous round and produce a 4 byte result for the current round. Notice the first 6 rounds simply copy the total of 24 bytes of the key.

Table 13: 24-byte key expansion [9]

$\square$

- 32 byte Key Expansion

Each round (except rounds $0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ and 7 ) will take the result of the previous round and produce a 4 byte result for the current round. Notice the first 8 rounds simply copy the total of 32 bytes of the key.

Table 14: 32-byte key expansion [9]


### 4.3. Implementation details

The following functions are required by both encryption and decryption modules as these functions are required for key generation and some computational steps:
generateSubkeys
Input: byte[] key
Returns: byte[]tmp
Pseudo Code:
byte[][] tmp $=$ new byte[ $\mathrm{Nb} *(\mathrm{Nr}+1)][4]$
inti $=0$
while ( $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{Nk}$ )
$\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}][0]=\operatorname{key}[\mathrm{i} * 4]$
$\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}][1]=\operatorname{key}[\mathrm{i} * 4+1]$
$\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}][2]=\operatorname{key}[\mathrm{i} * 4+2]$
$\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}][3]=\operatorname{key}[\mathrm{i} * 4+3]$
i++
$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{Nk}$
while $(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{Nb} *(\mathrm{Nr}+1))$
byte[] temp $=$ new byte[4]
for(int $k=0 ; k<4 ; k++)$
$\operatorname{temp}[\mathrm{k}]=\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}-1][\mathrm{k}]$
if ( $\mathrm{i} \% \mathrm{Nk}==0$ )
temp $=$ SubWord(rotateWord(temp))

```
temp \([0]=(\) byte \()(\operatorname{temp}[0] \wedge(\operatorname{Rcon}[\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{Nk}] \& 0 x f f))\)
else if (Nk> 6 \&\&i \% Nk == 4)
temp \(=\) SubWord(temp);
\(\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}]=\) xor_func \((\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Nk}]\), temp \()\)
i++
```

returntmp
xor_func
Input: byte[] a, byte[] b
Returns: byte[] out
Pseudo Code:
byte[] out = new byte[a.length]
for $(\mathrm{inti}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<$ a.length $; i++)$
out[i] $=($ byte $)(\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}] \wedge \mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}])$
return out

## SubWord

Input: byte[] in
Returns: byte[]tmp
Pseudo code:
byte[] tmp = new byte[in.length]
for (inti $=0 ; i<$ tmp.length; $i++$ )
$\operatorname{tmp}[i]=($ byte $)(\operatorname{sbox}[i n[i] \& 0 x 000000 f f] \& 0 x f f)$
returntmp
rotateWord
Input: byte[] input
Returns: byte[] tmp
Pseudo code:
byte[] tmp = new byte[input.length]
$\operatorname{tmp}[0]=$ input[1]
$\operatorname{tmp}[1]=$ input[2]
$\operatorname{tmp}[2]=$ input[3]
$\operatorname{tmp}[3]=$ input[0]
returntmp

## FFMul

Input: byte a , byte b
Output: byte r
Pseudo Code:
byteaa $=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{bb}=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r}=0, \mathrm{t}$
while (aa !=0)
if ((aa\& 1) != 0)
$\mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{byte})\left(\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} \mathrm{bb}\right)$
$\mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{byte})(\mathrm{bb} \& 0 \mathrm{x} 80)$
$\mathrm{bb}=($ byte $)(\mathrm{bb} \ll 1)$
if $(\mathrm{t}!=0)$
$\mathrm{bb}=(\mathrm{byte})\left(\mathrm{bb}{ }^{\wedge} 0 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{~b}\right)$
$\mathrm{aa}=($ byte $)(($ aa\& 0xff $) \gg 1)$
return r

### 4.3.1. Encryption

The encryption algorithm has the following:
Constants $-\mathrm{Nb}=4 ; \mathrm{Nk}=$ key.length $/ 4 ; \mathrm{Nr}=\mathrm{Nk}+6$; intlenght $=0$;

Inputs - byte[] in, byte[] key
The input text is first checked and is passes through byte padding sequence in order to make sure it contains sufficient number of bytes for encryption.
encryptBloc
Input: byte[] in
Returns: byte[] tmp
Pseudo code:
byte[] tmp = new byte[in.length]
byte[][] state $=$ new byte[4][ Nb ]
for (inti $=0 ; \mathrm{i}<$ in.length; $\mathrm{i}++$ )
state[i/4][i \% 4] = in[i\%4*4+i/4]
state $=$ AddRoundKey(state, w, 0)
for (int round $=1$; round $<\mathrm{Nr}$; round++)
state $=$ SubBytes(state)
state $=$ ShiftRows(state)
state $=$ MixColumns(state)
state $=$ AddRoundKey(state, w, round)
state $=$ SubBytes(state)
state $=$ ShiftRows $($ state $)$
state $=$ AddRoundKey $($ state, $, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Nr})$
for (inti $=0 ; \mathrm{i}<$ tmp.length; $\mathrm{i}++$ )
$\operatorname{tmp}[i \% 4 * 4+\mathrm{i} / 4]=\operatorname{state}[\mathrm{i} / 4][\mathrm{i} \% 4]$
returntmp

## AddRoundKey

Input: byte[][] state, byte[][] w, int round

Output: byte[][] tmp

Pseudo Code:
byte[][] tmp $=$ new byte[state.length][state[0].length]
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
for (int $1=0 ; 1<4 ; 1++)$
$\operatorname{tmp}[1][\mathrm{c}]=($ byte $)\left(\right.$ state[l][c] ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{w}[$ round $\left.* \mathrm{Nb}+\mathrm{c}][1]\right)$
returntmp

## SubBytes

Input: byte[][] state

Output: byte[][] tmp

Pseudo Code:
byte[][] tmp $=$ new byte[state.length][state[0].length]
for (int row $=0 ;$ row $<4 ;$ row++)
for (int $\mathrm{col}=0 ; \mathrm{col}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{col}++$ )
$\operatorname{tmp}[\mathrm{row}][\mathrm{col}]=($ byte $)(\operatorname{sbox}[($ state $[\mathrm{row}][\mathrm{col}] \& 0 x 000000 \mathrm{ff})] \& 0 \mathrm{xff})$
returntmp

## ShiftRows

Input: byte[][] state

Output: byte[][] state

Pseudo Code:
byte[] t = new byte[4]
for (int r $=1 ; r<4 ; r++$ )
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
$\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{c}]=\operatorname{state}[\mathrm{r}][(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{r}) \% \mathrm{Nb}]$
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
state $[\mathrm{r}][\mathrm{c}]=\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{c}]$
return state

## MixColumns

Input: byte[][] s

Output: byte[][] tmp

Pseudo Code:
$\operatorname{int}[] \mathrm{sp}=$ new $\operatorname{int}[4]$
byte $\mathrm{b} 02=($ byte $) 0 x 02, \mathrm{~b} 03=($ byte $) 0 x 03$
for (int $c=0 ; c<4 ; c++$ )
$\mathrm{sp}[0]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[1][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}[2][\mathrm{c}] \wedge \mathrm{s}[3][\mathrm{c}]$
$\operatorname{sp}[1]=\mathrm{s}[0][\mathrm{c}]{ }^{\wedge} \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[1][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[2][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}[3][\mathrm{c}]$
$\mathrm{sp}[2]=\mathrm{s}[0][\mathrm{c}]{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}[1][\mathrm{c}] \wedge$ $\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[2][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[3][\mathrm{c}])$
$\mathrm{sp}[3]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}])^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}[1][\mathrm{c}] \wedge \mathrm{s}[2][\mathrm{c}] \wedge \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[3][\mathrm{c}])$
for (inti $=0 ; \mathrm{i}<4 ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
$\mathrm{s}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{c}]=(\mathrm{byte})(\mathrm{sp}[\mathrm{i}])$
return s

### 4.3.2. Decryption

The decryption algorithm has the following:

Constants $-\mathrm{Nb}=4 ; \mathrm{Nk}=$ key.length $/ 4 ; \mathrm{Nr}=\mathrm{Nk}+6 ;$ intlenght $=0$;
Inputs - byte[] in, byte[] key
The input cipher text is first decrypted and is then passes through byte padding sequence in order to make sure it contains sufficient number of bytes as the input plain text.

## decryptBloc

Input: byte[][] in
Output: byte[]tmp
Pseudo Code:
byte[] tmp = new byte[in.length]
byte[][] state = new byte[4][Nb]
for (inti $=0 ; i<$ in.length; $i++$ )
state[i / 4][i \% 4] $=\operatorname{in}[\mathrm{i} \% 4 * 4+\mathrm{i} / 4]$
state $=\operatorname{AddRoundKey}($ state, $, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Nr})$
for (int round $=\mathrm{Nr}-1$; round $>=1$; round -- )
state $=$ InvSubBytes(state)
state $=\operatorname{InvShiftRows(state)}$
state $=$ AddRoundKey(state, w, round $)$
state $=\operatorname{InvMixColumns}($ state $)$
state $=$ InvSubBytes(state)
state $=$ InvShiftRows(state)
state $=\operatorname{AddRoundKey}($ state, $\mathrm{w}, 0)$
for (inti $=0 ; \mathrm{i}<$ tmp.length; $\mathrm{i}++$ )
$\operatorname{tmp}[i \% 4 * 4+i / 4]=\operatorname{state}[\mathrm{i} / 4][\mathrm{i} \% 4]$
returntmp

## InvSubBytes

Input: byte[][] state
Output: byte[][] state
Pseudo Code:
for (int row $=0$; row $<4$; row++)
for (int col = 0; col < Nb; col++)
state[row][col] $=($ byte $)($ inv_sbox[(state[row][col] \& 0x000000ff)]\&0xff $)$
return state

## InvShiftRows

Input: byte[][] state
Output: byte[][] state
Pseudo Code:
byte[] t = new byte[4]
for (int $\mathrm{r}=1 ; \mathrm{r}<4 ; \mathrm{r}++$ )
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
$\mathrm{t}[(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{r}) \% \mathrm{Nb}]=\operatorname{state}[\mathrm{r}][\mathrm{c}]$
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
state $[\mathrm{r}][\mathrm{c}]=\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{c}]$
return state

## InvMixColumns

Input: byte[][] s

Output: byte[][] state

Pseudo Code:
$\operatorname{int}[] \mathrm{sp}=$ new $\operatorname{int}[4]$
byte b02 = (byte) $0 x 0 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{b} 03=($ byte $) 0 \mathrm{x} 0 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~b} 04=($ byte $) 0 \mathrm{x} 0 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~b} 05=($ byte $) 0 \mathrm{x} 09$
for (int $\mathrm{c}=0 ; \mathrm{c}<4 ; \mathrm{c}++$ )
$\mathrm{sp}[0]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[1][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 04, \mathrm{~s}[2][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge$ FFMul(b05,s[3][c])
$\operatorname{sp}[1]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 05, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \mathrm{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 02, \mathrm{~s}[1][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \mathrm{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[2][\mathrm{c}])$
FFMul(b04,s[3][c])
$\operatorname{sp}[2]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 04, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge$ FFMul(b05, s[1][c]) $\wedge$ FFMul(b02,s[2][c]) $\wedge$ FFMul(b03,s[3][c])
$\mathrm{sp}[3]=\operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 03, \mathrm{~s}[0][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 04, \quad \mathrm{~s}[1][\mathrm{c}]) \wedge \quad \operatorname{FFMul}(\mathrm{b} 05, \mathrm{~s}[2][\mathrm{c}])$
${ }^{\wedge}$ FFMul(b02,s[3][c])
for (inti $=0 ; i<4 ; i++)$
$\mathrm{s}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{c}]=(\mathrm{byte})(\mathrm{sp}[\mathrm{i}])$
return s

### 4.4 Conclusion

We learned about how AES works in an extensive manner.

## Chapter 5

## Implementing the Core Components

The core components of a cryptographic storage service can be implemented using a variety of techniques, some of which were developed specifically for cloud computing. When preparing data for storage in the cloud, the data processor begins by indexing it and encrypting it with a symmetric encryption scheme (e.g., AES) under a unique key. It then encrypts the index using a searchable encryption scheme and encrypts the unique key with an attribute-based encryption scheme under an appropriate policy. Finally, it encodes the encrypted data and index in such a way that the data verifier can later verify their integrity using a proof of storage.[12][13][14][15]

In the following we provide high level descriptions of these new cryptographic primitives. While traditional techniques like encryption and digital signatures could be used to implement the core components, they would do so at considerable cost in communication and computation. To see why, consider the example of an organization that encrypts and signs its data before storing it in the cloud. While this clearly preserves confidentiality and integrity it has the following limitations. To enable searching over the data, the customer has to either store an index locally, or download all the (encrypted) data, decrypt it and search locally. The first approach obviously negates the benefits of cloud storage (since indexes can grow large) while the second scales poorly. With respect to integrity, note that the organization would have to retrieve all the data first in order to verify the signatures. If the data is large, this verification procedure is obviously undesirable. Various solutions based on (keyed) hash functions could also be used, but all such approaches only allow a fixed number of verifications.

### 5.1 Searchable Encryption

A searchable encryption scheme provides a way to encrypt a search index so that its contents are hidden except to a party that is given appropriate tokens. More precisely, consider a search index generated over a collection of files (this could be a full-text index or just a keyword index). Using a searchable encryption scheme, the index is encrypted in such a way that (1) given a token for a keyword one can retrieve pointers to the encrypted files that contain the keyword; and (2) without a token the contents of the index are hidden. In addition, the tokens can only be generated with knowledge of a secret key and the retrieval procedure reveals nothing about the files or the keywords except that the files contain a keyword in common. [17][18]

This last point is worth discussing further as it is crucial to understanding the security guarantee provided by searchable encryption. Notice that over time (i.e., after many searches) knowing that a certain subset of documents contain a word in common may leak some useful information. This is because the server could make some assumptions about the client's search pattern and use this information to make a guess about the keywords being searched for. It is important to understand, however, that while searching does leaks some information to the provider, what is being leaked is exactly what the provider would learn from the act of returning the appropriate files to the customer (i.e., that these files contain some keyword in common). In other words, the information " leaked" to the cloud provider is not leaked by the cryptographic primitives, but by the manner in which the service is being used (i.e., to fetch files based on exact keyword matches). It is important to understand that this leakage is in some sense inherent to any efficient and reliable cloud storage service and is, at worst, less information than what is leaked by using a public cloud storage service. The only known alternative, which involves making the service provider return false positives and having the client perform some local filtering, is inefficient in terms of communication and computational complexity.

There are many types of searchable encryption schemes, each one appropriate to particular application scenarios. For example, the data processors in our consumer and small enterprise architectures use symmetric searchable encryption (SSE), while the data processors in our large enterprise architecture uses asymmetric searchable encryption (ASE). In the following we describe each type of scheme in more detail.

### 5.1.1 Symmetric Searchable Encryption

SSE is appropriate in any setting where the party that searches over the data is also the one who generates it. Borrowing from storage systems terminology, we refer to such scenarios as single writer/single reader (SWSR). SSE schemes were introduced in (Song, Wagner and Perrig 2000) and improved constructions and security definitions were given in (Goh 2003), (Chang and Mitzenmacher 2005) and (Curtmola, et al. 2006).[19]

The main advantages of SSE are efficiency and security while the main disadvantage is functionality. SSE schemes are efficient both for the party doing the encryption and (in some cases) for the party performing the search. Encryption is efficient because most SSE schemes are based on symmetric primitives like block ciphers and pseudorandom functions. Search can be efficient because the typical usage scenarios for SSE (i.e., SWSR) allow the data to be pre-processed and stored in efficient data structures. The security guarantees provided by SSE are, roughly speaking, the following:
(1) Without any trapdoors the server learns nothing about the data except its length.
(2) Given a trapdoor for a keyword W , the server learns which (encrypted) documents contain W without learning W .

While these security guarantees are stronger than the ones provided by both asymmetric and efficiently searchable encryption (described below), we stress that they do have their limitations (as described above).

The main disadvantage of SSE is that the known solutions tradeoff efficiency and functionality. This is easiest to see by looking at two of the main constructions proposed in the literature. In the scheme proposed by Curtmola et al. (Curtmola, et al. 2006), search time for the server is optimal (i.e., linear in the number of documents that contain the keyword) but updates to the index are inefficient. On the other hand, in the scheme proposed by Goh (Goh 2003), updates to the index can be done efficiently but search time for the server is slow (i.e., linear in the total number of documents). We also remark that neither scheme handles searches that are composed of conjunctions or disjunction of terms. The only SSE scheme that handles conjunctions (Golle, Waters and Staddon 2004) is based on pairings on elliptic curves
and is as inefficient as the asymmetric searchable encryption schemes discussed below.

### 5.1.2 Asymmetric searchable encryption

ASE schemes are appropriate in any setting where the party searching over the data is different from the party that generates it. We refer to such scenarios as many writer/single reader (MWSR). ASE schemes were introduced in (Boneh, Di Crescenzo, et al. 2004). Improved definitions were proposed in (Abdalla, et al. 2005) and schemes that handle conjunctions were given in (Park, Kim and Lee 2005) and (Boneh and Waters, Conjunctive, Subset, and Range Queries on Encrypted Data 2007).[20][4]

The main advantage of ASE is functionality while the main disadvantages are inefficiency and weaker security. Since the writer and reader can be different, ASE schemes are usable in a larger number of settings than SSE schemes. The inefficiency comes from the fact that all known ASE schemes require the evaluation of pairings on elliptic curves which is a relatively slow operation compared to evaluations of (cryptographic) hash functions or block ciphers. In addition, in the typical usage scenarios for ASE (i.e., MWSR) the data cannot be stored in efficient data structures.

The security guarantees provided by ASE are, roughly speaking, the following:
(1) Without any trapdoors the server learns nothing about the data except its length.
(2) Given a trapdoor for a keyword W, the server learns which (encrypted) documents contain W

Notice that (2) here is weaker than in the SSE setting. In fact, when using an ASE scheme, the server can mount a dictionary attack against the token and figure out which keyword the client is searching for (Byun, et al. 2006). It can then use the token (for which it now knows the underlying keyword) and do a search to figure out which documents contain the (known) keyword. Note that this should not necessarily be interpreted as saying that ASE schemes are insecure, just that one has to be very
careful about the particular usage scenario and the types of keywords and data being considered.

### 5.1.3 Efficient ASE

ESE schemes are appropriate in any setting where the party that searches over the data is different from the party that generates it and where the keywords are hard to guess.[4][21]

### 5.2 Proofs of Storage

A proof of storage is a protocol executed between a client and a server with which the server can prove to the client that it did not tamper with its data. The client begins by encoding the data before storing it in the cloud. From that point on, whenever it wants to verify the integrity of the data it runs a proof of storage protocol with the server. The main benefits of a proof of storage are that
(1) They can be executed an arbitrary number of times; and
(2) The amount of information exchanged between the client and the server is extremely small and independent of the size of the data.

Proofs of storage can be either privately or publicly verifiable. Privately verifiable proofs of storage only allow the client (i.e., the party that encoded the file) to verify the integrity of the data. With a publicly verifiable proof of storage, on the other hand, anyone that possesses the client's public key can verify the data's integrity. [27][28]

### 5.3 Conclusion

We learned how AES is optimal for cloud computing. We also learned how Proof of Storage can increase the security of data stored in cloud sstorage.

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion and Future Work

The Advanced Encryption Technique was implemented successfully using Java. Various data messages were encrypted using different keys and varying key sizes. The original data was properly retrieved via decryption of the ciphertext. The modifications brought about in the code was tested and proved to beaccurately encrypting and decrypting the data messages with even higher security andimmunity against the unauthorized users.

The limitations with this AES algorithm are: the successful attack against AES data encryption has been side channel attacks, which don't attack the actual AES cipher text, rather than its implementation.Since it drives on blocks of 128 bits it requires more processing for large data.

Further enhancement to this project will be to speed up the processing of encryption and decryption by performing the encryption/decryption process in parallel. Achieving a better throughput by increasing the block size used by the algorithm and testing the performance, efficiency and security of the modified algorithm using linear cryptanalysis.
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## Appendix



Figure 8: Screen capture of Console

| 80. Problems | @ Javadoc 㢴 Declaration Console $\mathbb{Z}$ |  | - $x$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <terminated> Main [Java Application] C:\Program Files\Java\jdk1.7.0_65\bin\javaw.exe (Dec 21, 2014, 2:49:47 PM) |  |  |  |
| PLAIN TEXT: ...this is a test run! |  |  |  |
| CIPHER TEXT |  | Time taken: 0.006 seconds |  |
| DECRYPTED T | TEXT: ...this is a test run! | Time taken: 0.002 seconds |  |

Figure 9: Output of code

