## JAYPEE UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, WAKNAGHAT TEST -2 EXAMINATIONS- 2025 M.Tech-I Semester (BT)

COURSE CODE (CREDITS):18M11BT113 (3)

MAX. MARKS: 25

COURSE NAME: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND ETHICS

COURSE INSTRUCTORS: GSB AND HSD

MAX. TIME: 1 Hour 30 Min

Note: (a) All questions are compulsory.

(b) The candidate is allowed to make Suitable numeric assumptions wherever required for solving problems

| for solving problems |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

|      | for solving problems   |         |
|------|--|---------|
| Q.No | Question   | Marks   |
| Q1   | Read Case study carefully. Answer the following questions. Use examples and critical         | [1.5+2+ |
|      | reasoning where relevant.  | 2.5]    |
|      | A research lab plans to test a new cancer drug in mice before moving to human trials. The    |         |
|      | proposed protocol involves implanting tumors in mice and testing different drug dosages.     |         |
|      | Critics argue it causes suffering, while researchers claim it is essential for translational |         |
|      | science.   |         |
|      | a) Suggest how the 3Rs principle can be applied in this study.                               |         |
|      | b) Compare ethical arguments for and against using turior-bearing mice in this               |         |
|      | research.  |         |
|      | c) Judge whether this research is ethically justified. Provide reasoning.                    |         |
| Q2.  | A biotechnology research team at a university is conducting CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing         | [1+2.5+ |
|      | to develop drought-resistant rice. The project is funded by a national funding agency and    | 2.5]    |
|      | involves collaboration with a private seed company. Conflicts arise when                     |         |
|      | One junior researcher claims that the PI is taking undue credit for their                    |         |
|      | experimental design and data.  |         |
|      | > The private company pressures the team to withhold negative results to favor               |         |
|      | marketable strains.  |         |
|      | > There is disagreement among team members about the ethical implications of                 |         |
|      | gene editing and potential environmental impacts.  |         |
|      | The research involves lab experiments (gene editing, plant transformation), field trials,    |         |
|      | and molecular analyses. The team is also considering submitting patents for the modified     |         |
|      | rice variety.  |         |
|      | a) Explain why withholding negative results from research can be ethically                   |         |
|      | problematic.   |         |
|      | b) Analyze the differences between academic and industrial priorities in                     |         |
|      | collaborative biotechnology research and how these can lead to conflict.                     |         |
|      | c) Propose a conflict resolution framework for this research team that ensures ethical       |         |
|      | integrity, proper authorship credit, and responsible collaboration with industry.            |         |

| Q3. | How do ethical principles help in decision-making under the mentioned case study, where intranasal vaccine trials for COVID-19 are to be carried out on children of 12-16 years of age, adults, and elderly people to check their efficacy? What decision model would you like to use under the prevailing conditions for COVID-19? | [3+3] |
|-----|---|-------|
| Q4  | How can you adhere to the professional standards for following research ethics? How does data manipulation and plagiarism threaten the status of research? Explain with an example.   | [4]   |
| Q5  | Conceptualize any scientific idea and follow the ethical considerations for its proper implementation as a project at the institutional level.  | [3]   |