

<p>Q4:</p>	<p>Suppose you are performing linear regression to predict a target variable y using features x_1 and x_2. The model is represented as:</p> $y = w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + b$ <p>After applying L2 regularization to the model, the objective function becomes:</p> $J(w_1, w_2, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (w_1x_{i1} + w_2x_{i2} + b))^2 + \lambda(w_1^2 + w_2^2)$ <p>Where n is the number of samples and λ is the regularization parameter.</p> <p>Explain how increasing λ affects the values of w_1 and w_2. Also Derive the gradient of the objective function $J(w_1, w_2, b)$ with respect to w_1. How does L2 regularization impact models with highly correlated features x_1 and x_2?</p>	<p>CO5</p>	<p>[7.5]</p>								
<p>Q5:</p>	<p>You are using gradient boosting to predict a continuous target variable y (regression problem). The dataset contains three samples:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="443 853 930 1010"> <thead> <tr> <th>x</th> <th>y</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>30</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Initial model is represented as $F_0(x)$ and it is initialized with the mean of the target variable. Suppose the decision stump predicts residuals $h_1(x)$ as follows: for $x=1$, $h_1(x) = -5$; for $x=2$, $h_1(x) = 0$ and for $x=3$, $h_1(x) = 5$. Update the model using $F_1(x) = F_0(x) + \beta \cdot h_1(x)$, where the learning rate $\beta = 0.2$. Compute the updated predictions $F_1(x)$ for all x.</p>	x	y	1	10	2	20	3	30	<p>CO5</p>	<p>[5]</p>
x	y										
1	10										
2	20										
3	30										
<p>Q6:</p>	<p>How does ensemble learning improve model performance, and why do methods like Bagging and Boosting lead to different outcomes in terms of bias-variance trade-off? Discuss under what circumstances each method is likely to outperform the other.</p>	<p>CO4</p>	<p>[5]</p>								