JAYPEE UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, WAKNAGHAT TEST -2 EXAMINATION- 2024

M.Tech-I Semester (BT)

COURSE CODE (CREDITS):18M11BT113 (3)

MAX. MARKS: 25

COURSE NAME: Research Methodology and Ethics

COURSE INSTRUCTORS: Prof. Gopal Singh Bisht

MAX. TIME: 1 Hour 30 Minutes

Note: (a) All questions are compulsory.

(b) The candidate is allowed to make Suitable numeric assumptions wherever required for solving problems

Q.No	Question	Marks
Q1	Study the case given below carefully and then answer the following questions.	[2x4=8]
	Dr. Rita is conducting a study to explore the impact of physical exercise on reducing	
	stress levels in high school students. She hypothesizes that students who engage in	
	regular physical exercise (30 minutes a day, 5 days a week) will report lower stress	
	levels than those who do not exercise regularly.	
	To test her hypothesis, Dr. Rita gathers two groups of students: Group A, who	
	regularly exercises, and Group B, who does not. Over the course of 6 weeks, she	
	administers a self-reported stress questionnaire to both groups.	
	However, Dr. Rita's colleague, Dr. Patel, points out several potential sources of bias in her study:	
	I. Self-report bias: The students may not accurately report their stress levels due to social desirability.	
	II. Selection bias: Students who choose to exercise regularly might already have lower stress levels, affecting the outcomes.	
	III. Confirmation bias: Dr. Rita might subconsciously interpret the data in a	
	way that supports her hypothesis.	
	a) Identify and analyze two other potential sources of bias in Dr. Rita's study	
	b) How could Dr. Rita minimize self-report bias in her study?	
	c) Evaluate whether Dr. Rita's hypothesis is testable, given the biases and issues in her study design.	
	d) Design an alternative study that addresses the biases present in Dr. Rita's	
Q2	current research.	
	a) Analyze the potential impact of poorly defined research objectives on the overall quality of a manuscript. Evaluate the role of peer review in the process of research manuscript writing and publication.	[4]
	b) Design a checklist that researchers can use to improve the clarity and	[2]
	coherence of their research manuscripts.	[2]
Q3	Design a sampling strategy using a combination of methods to study the effects of	[3]
	pollution on fish populations in different lakes across a region.	

Q4

Dr. A, a senior researcher at a pharmaceutical company, is conducting a clinical trial to test the effectiveness of a new drug designed to treat chronic pain. Dr. B, a colleague from a renowned university, is collaborating with her on the study. The trial is sponsored by the pharmaceutical company that Dr. A works for, and if the drug proves to be effective, it could result in a significant financial gain for the company, including stock options and bonuses for Dr. A.

During the research, Dr. B begins to raise concerns about some of the early results. The data is not as promising as Dr. A's preliminary reports suggested, and there seems to be a pattern of excluding patients whose responses to the drug were less favorable. When Dr. B brings this up to Dr. A, she assures him that these exclusions are justified because of pre-existing conditions in those patients, which would skew the results.

Dr. B, however, feels that the exclusions may be driven by the financial interest the pharmaceutical company has in the drug's success, and he is concerned that this could be a conflict of interest for Dr. A. He's unsure how to proceed without jeopardizing their professional relationship or his own career.

a) How can Dr. B address his concerns about the potential conflict of interest without damaging his professional relationship with Dr. A?

b) Analyze how Dr. A's financial incentives could impact the integrity of the research findings.

c) Evaluate the ethical implications of Dr. A's actions in light of research integrity standards.

d) Design a protocol to prevent conflicts of interest from compromising research integrity in future clinical trials.

[2x4=8]